

Application No.: 10/700003
Docket No.: CL1646USDIV

Page 3

REMARKS

Claims 23-29 and 36 are in the case.

Claims 23-26, 28, 29 and 36 are allowed

Claim 27 remains rejected under 35 USC § 112 for reasons of record. Claim 27 is canceled by this amendment.

No new matter has been added.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the consideration of the Information Disclosure statement submitted May 24, 2005.

Terminal Disclaimer

Applicants take note that the Terminal Disclaimer filed May 24, 2005 has been recorded.


Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph for lack of enablement. The examiner suggests that the large number of plants recited in the claim are not supported by adequate teaching in the specification. Applicants traverse.

Claim 27 has been canceled making this rejection moot.

In view of the foregoing amendments Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the claims as amended and removal of all rejections.

Respectfully submitted,



S. NEIL FELTHAM
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS
Registration No.: 36,506
Telephone: (302) 992-6460
Facsimile: (302) 992-5374

Dated: August 22, 2005